arXiv:1611.10058v1 [math.CO] 30 Nov 2016

Non-Crossing Perfect Matchings and Triangle-Free Geometric Graphs

Hazim Michman Trao[a], Gek L. Chia[b,e], Niran Abbas Ali[c] and Adem Kilicman[d]

[a,c,d]*Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Malaysia,*

[b]*Department of Mathematical and Actuarial Sciences, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Sungai Long Campus, Malaysia*

[e]*Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

Abstract

We study extremal type problem arising from the question: What is the maximum number of sets of edge disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings on a set S of $2n$ points in the plane such that their union is a triangle-free geometric graph? We approach this problem by considering three different situations of S, namely, when S is in convex position, regular wheel position and general position. In most of the situations, we also investigate the structures of the graphs obtained.

1 Introduction

Let S be a set of points in general position in the plane (that is, no three points on a common line). By a *geometric graph* we mean a graph $G = (S, E)$ where S is a finite set of points (*vertices*) in general position in the plane and E is a finite set of straight-line segments (*edges*) which joins vertices in S. In the case where all vertices in S lie in a convex position, the graph called a *convex geometric graph*.

Two subgraphs in a geometric graph are said to be *edge-disjoint* if they have have no edge in common. Two edges in a geometric graph are said to *cross* each other if they have a common point which is interior to both of them. A subgraph of a geometric is said to be *non-crossing* (or *plane*) if its edges do not cross each other.

A geometric graph G is said to be *triangle-free* if it contains no complete subgraph with three vertices.

By a *matching* in a graph G we mean a subgraph of G in which every vertex has degree at most one; and a matching is *perfect* if every vertex has degree equal to one. Matchings in geometric graphs have received much attention in the literature (see [1], [2], [4], [5] and [6] for example). In particular, in [5], the authors consider the problem of packing a maximum number of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings into a convex complete graph of even order. In turns out that the result is a maximal bipartite graph (see Theorem 1).

For the case where S is a set of $2n$ points in regular wheel configuration in the plane, we show that there exist at most $\lceil \frac{(b-1)n}{b} \rceil - (b-2)$ sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings whose union yields a triangle-free geometric graph. Here b denotes the number of boundary edges in each such perfect matching, and $n \geq b^2 - 1$ (see Theorem 2). It is noted that the bound is best possible when $b = 3$. Also, when $b = 2$ and n is odd, we show that the resulting graph obtained is unique (Theorem 3).

For the case S is a set of $2n$ in the general position in the plane where $n = 2^k + h$ (and $0 \leq h < 2^k$), we extend a result in [5] by showing that there exist at least k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings whose union is a triangle-free geometric graph. The proof makes use of an algorithm (Algorithm (A)) in Section 4 which is modified from an algorithm given in [4].

The case where the resulting graph is a geometric plane graph is treated in the final section.

The following result will be used quite often in the rest of the paper. Let G be a geometric graph and let $CH(G)$ denote its convex hull. A non-boundary edge in G is called a *diagonal edge* if both its ends are in $CH(G)$.

Proposition 1 *Let G be a geometric graph with $2n$ vertices and G is a union of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings where $n \geq 2$. Then each diagonal edge uv in G divides $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ into two parts each having an even number of vertices.*

Proof: Suppose $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ where F_i is a non-crossing perfect matching on $2n$ vertices, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $E(F_i) \cap E(F_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Suppose there is a diagonal edge uv in G that divides $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ into two parts each having an odd number of vertices (since G has $2n$ vertices). Then clearly $uv \in E(F)$ for some $F \in \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{k}$. But then F cannot match all the vertices in each part of G without crossing the edge uv (since each part has an odd number of vertices). This contradiction proves the lemma. □.

2 Points in convex position

Recall that a *matching* in a graph G is a subgraph of G in which every vertex has degree at most one; and a matching is *perfect* if every vertex has degree equal to one. By a non-crossing matching we mean a matching in which no two edges share a common interior point. Two perfect matchings are said to be *edge-disjoint* if they have no edge in common.

In [5], Biniaz et al. prove that for a set S of $2n$ points in convex position, there are at most n edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings on S. We shall show that when n such edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings are packed into S, the resulting convex

geometric graph is the unique maximal triangle-free graph $C_{2n,n}$ which we shall define below.

Let G be a geometric graph whose vertices in the convex hull $CH(G)$ are arranged in cyclic order $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_m$. Let u_iu_{i+1} be an edge in $CH(G)$. An edge u_ku_l is said to be *p-parallel* to u_iu_{i+1} if $|i-k| = |i+1-l|$. Two edges are said to be *p-parallel* if they are both p-parallel to the same boundary edge.

Let the vertices of $C_{2n,n}$ be denoted by $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n-1}$ arranged in cyclic order. For each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ let F_i denote the set of all edges p-parallel to the edge v_0v_{2i+1}. Then clearly each F_i is a non-crossing perfect matching on $S = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n-1}\}$. Moreover $E(F_i) \cap E(F_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. We may color the vertices $v_0, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n-2}$ with one color and the vertices $v_1, v_3, \ldots, v_{2n-1}$ with another color. Let $E(C_{2n,n}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} E(F_i)$. It is easy to see that $C_{2n,n}$ is a triangle-free graph having $2n$ vertices and n^2 edges (since no edge joins two vertices of the same color). By Turan's theorem, $C_{2n,n}$ is a maximal triangle-free graph. Figure 1 depicts the graph $C_{12,6}$.



Figure 1: $C_{12,6}$

The following result shows that any set of n edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings on a set of $2n$ points in convex position yields the same maximal triangle-free graph $C_{2n,n}$.

Suppose $S = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-1}\}$ is a set of m points in the convex position. Edges of the form v_iv_{i+1}, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ are called the *boundary edges* of S.

Theorem 1 *Let S be a set of $2n$ points in the convex position on the plane where $n \geq 2$. Suppose $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ are n sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings on S.*

Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i$ is the maximal triangle-free convex geometric graph $C_{2n,n}$.

Proof: Because every non-crossing perfect matching on S has at least 2 boundary edges on S (see [6]), it follows that F_i contains exactly 2 boundary edges on S for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Take any boundary edge on S, say v_0v_1. Then v_0v_1 belongs to one of the given perfect matchings, say $v_0v_1 \in E(F_1)$. We assert that all edges in F_1 are p-parallel to v_0v_1.

Suppose on the contrary that $v_iv_j \in E(F_1)$ is such that v_0v_1 and v_iv_j are not p-parallel for some $i < j$. Then we have $|\{v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| \neq |\{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{2n-1}\}|$. If $j - i$ is an even integer, then there is an edge say v_rv_s in F_1 with $r \in \{i+1, i+2, \ldots, j-1\}$ and $s \notin \{i, i+1, i+2, \ldots, j\}$ implying v_iv_j, v_rv_s are crossing edges in F_1.

Hence $j - i$ is an odd integer and this implies that there is a boundary edge v_tv_{t+1} on S where $i < t < j$.

Assume without loss of generality that $|\{v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}\}| < |\{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{2n-1}\}|$. Since F_1 is a perfect matching on S, this implies that F_1 would have another boundary edge v_kv_{k+1} on S with $v_k, v_{k+1} \in \{v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{2n-1}\}$, a contradiction.

Clearly, there exist n boundary edges on S where any two are non p-parallel. We can take these n boundary edges to be $v_0v_1, v_1v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n$ and assume that $v_{i-1}v_i \in E(F_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By the preceding argument, each F_i yields a set of n non-crossing edges. Since $v_0v_{2i+1} \in E(F_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, we conclude that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} F_i$ is the maximal triangle-free convex geometric graph $C_{2n,n}$. □

Remark 1 *Note that the bound on n in Theorem 1 is best possible in term of triangle-free graph. Note also that it is possible to construct a maximal triangle-free geometric graph G with interior points and G is isomorphic to $C_{2n,n}$. An example of such geometric graph is shown in Figure 2.*

Proposition 2 *Suppose G is a convex geometric graph on 2n vertices and G is the union of n edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings where $n \geq 3$. Let K be a set of consecutive boundary vertices in $CH(G)$. Then there exists a diagonal edge of G that separates K from the rest of the vertices of G if and only if $|K|$ is even.*

Proof: By Theorem 1, G is the convex geometric graph $C_{2n,n}$ (which is maximal triangle-free). The necessity follows from Proposition 1.

To show the sufficiency, we may assume without loss of generality that $K = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ (since we can relabel the vertices by making a cyclic shift on the indices) and k is even. Suppose there is no diagonal edge that separates K. Then v_0v_{k+1} is not an edge of G which means that v_0, v_{k+1} belong to the same partite set of G and hence $k + 1$ is even. But this contradicts the fact that $|K| = k$ is even. □



Figure 2: Geometric graph G with interior points and $G \cong C_{12,6}$

3 Points in regular wheel configuration

A set S of m points is said to be in *regular wheel configuration* if $m-1$ of its points are regularly spaced on a circle C with one point x in the center of C. We call x the *center* of S. Note that those vertices in C are the convex hull of S. An edge of the form xv is called a *radial edge*; all other edges are called *non-radial edges*. Note that in this case, every perfect matching on S contains a radial edge. By a *radial vertex* we mean a vertex that is incident with a radial edge.

The following lemma will be used in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 regarding the constructions of perfect matchings.

Lemma 1 *Let S be a set of $2n$ points in regular wheel configuration in the plane where $n \geq 3$. Suppose F is a non-crossing perfect matching on S.*

(i) Then F has at least two boundary edges.

(ii) In the case that F has only two boundary edges e_1, e_2, every non-radial edge in F is p-parallel to either e_1 or e_2.

Proof: Suppose x is the center of S and $v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{2n-2}$ are the vertices of the circle C. Assume that xv_0 is the radial edge of F. Then $S - \{x, v_0\}$ is a set of $2n-2$ points in convex position. This means that $F - v_0x$ is a non-crossing perfect matching in $S - \{x, v_0\}$ and hence it has at least two boundary edges. This proves (i).

If $n = 3$, then (ii) is clearly true. Hence assume that $n \geq 4$.

Clearly, $v_1v_i \in E(F)$ for some $i \in \{2, 4, \ldots, 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$. But this means that $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i\}$ contains a non-crossing perfect matchings F_1 with a boundary edge e_1. Moreover any edge in F_1 is p-parallel to e_1.

Likewise, $v_{2n-2}v_j \in E(F)$ for some $j \in \{2\lfloor n/2\rfloor + 1,\ 2\lfloor n/2\rfloor + 3,\ \ldots,\ 2n-5\}$. But this means that $\{v_j, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{2n-2}\}$ contains a non-crossing perfect matchings F_2 with a boundary edge e_2 and that any two edges in F_2 are p-parallel to e_2.

Since F has only two boundary edges, we have $j = i+1$ (so that $i = 2\lfloor n/2\rfloor$ and $j = 2\lfloor n/2\rfloor + 1$). This proves (ii). □

The following result establishes the existence of triangle-free geometric graph in regular wheel configuration arising from k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings each having b boundary edges for each $b \in \{2,3\}$. For the case $b = 3$, the bound on k is best possible.

Theorem 2 *Let S be a set of $2n$ points in regular wheel configuration in the plane. Then there exist k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings $F_1, F_2, ..., F_k$ on S each having precisely b boundary edges where $b \in \{2,3\}$, and $k \leq \lceil \frac{(b-1)n}{b} \rceil - (b-2)$, such that $\cup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is a triangle-free geometric graph. Here $n \geq b^2 - 1$.*

Proof: (I) $b = 2$.

Suppose x is the center of S and $v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{2n-2}$ are the vertices of the circle C. Through out, let δ take the value 1 when n is odd, and the value 0 when n is even. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, let

$$F_i = \{v_{i-1}x, v_{i+j-1}v_{n-j+i-\delta}, v_{n-1-\delta+i+j}v_{i-j-1} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-\delta)/2\}.$$

Here the operations on the subscripts are reduced modulo $2n-1$. Then it is readily seen that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k$ are k sets of non-crossing perfect matchings on S.

The graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ where $n = 7$ is depicted in Figure 3. Note that $v_o x, v_1 x, \ldots, v_{k-1}x$ are consecutive radial edges of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ and that F_{i+1} is obtained from F_i by "rotating" the edges of F_i with respect to the center x of C.

We shall show that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is triangle-free. For this purpose, we may assume that $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. That is $k = (n+\delta)/2$. Before proceeding, we shall take note of the following observation.

(O1) Suppose uv is a non-radial edge of G. Then uv separates $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ into two parts A and $A(x)$ with (i) $A(x)$ containing the center x, (ii) $|A|$ and $|A(x)|$ are both even (by Proposition 1), (iii) $|A| < |A(x)|$ and (iv) $|A| \leq 2k - 2\delta - 2$.

Suppose G contains a triangle $v_r v_s v_t v_r = \triangle$. Then $x \notin \{v_r, v_s, v_t\}$ because the neighbors $N(x)$ of x are $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ and no two vertices in $N(x)$ are adjacent in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$.

Case (1): Assume that x is not enclosed by $\triangle$.

Suppose $\{i, j\} \subset \{r, s, t\}$. Let $A_{i,j}$ denote the part of $V(G) - \{v_i, v_j\}$ separated by $v_i v_j$ and $A_{i,j}$ does not contain x. By (O1)(ii), $|A_{r,s}|, |A_{s,t}|$ and $|A_{t,r}|$ are even integers. But this is a contradiction.



Figure 3: Triangle-free graph with $n = 7$ vertices in regular wheel configuration.

Case (2): Assume that x is enclosed by $\triangle$.

Let $V(G)$ be partitioned into $\{x\} \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$ where $A_1 = N(x)$ and $A_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-\delta)+\delta}, v_{(i-1)(k-\delta)+\delta+1}, \ldots, v_{i(k-\delta)+\delta-1}\}$, $i = 2, 3$, $A_4 = \{v_{3k-2}, v_{3k-1}, \ldots, v_{4(k-1)}\}$ when n is odd, and $A_4 = \{v_{3k}, v_{3k+1}, \ldots, v_{4k-2}\}$ when n is even. Note that $|A_1| = k$, $|A_i| = k - \delta$ for $i = 2, 3$.

(O2) No two vertices in A_j are adjacent for any $j \in \{1, 3\}$ (by the definition of G).

(O3) Suppose uv is a non-radial edge that separates $V(G) - \{u, v\}$ into A and $A(x)$. Then $uv \in E(F_i)$ for some non-crossing perfect matching F_i in G. As such, $|A \cap A_j| \leq |A|/2$ for any $j \in \{1, 3\}$.

To see that (O3) is true, assume the contrary that $|A \cap A_j| > |A|/2$. Then either there is some boundary edge in $A \cap A_j$ belonging to F_i, or else some edge in F_i incident to a vertex in $A \cap A_j$ is crossing with some other edges of F_i. Either case is a contradiction.

Suppose the triangle $\triangle$ is such that $v_r \in A_1$, $v_s \in A_2$ and $v_t \in A_4$. Then the edge $v_s v_t$ separates $V(G) - \{v_s, v_t\}$ into A and A_x with $A_3 \subset A$. But this is impossible by (O1)(iv) and (O3).

Hence, by symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that $v_r \in A_1$, $v_s \in A_2$ and $v_t \in A_3$. Then with the same notations as in Case (1), we have $|A_{r,t}| \leq 2k - 2\delta - 2$ (by (O1)(iv)) and $|A_{r,s} \cup A_{s,t}| \geq 2k - 2$ (since $|C| = 4k - 2\delta - 1$). But this implies that either $|A_1 \cap A_{r,s}| > |A_{r,s}|/2$ or else $|A_3 \cap A_{s,t}| > |A_{s,t}|/2$. Either case contradicts (O3).

This completes the proof for Case (2).

(II) $b = 3$.

Suppose x is the center of S and $v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{2n-2}$ are the vertices of the circle C. We

consider three cases $n = 3m + \ell \geq 8$ where $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

When $n = 3m$, we let

$F_1 = \{v_0x, v_{3m-i}v_{3m-1+i}, v_rv_{2m+1-j}, v_{-j}v_{j-2m-1} \mid i = 1, 2, ..., m-1,\ j = 1, 2, ..., m\}$.

When $n = 3m + 1$, we let

$F_1 = \{v_ox, v_{3m-1-i}v_{3m-2+i}, v_jv_{2m-1-j}, v_{-r}v_{r-2m-3} \mid i = 1, 2, ..., m,\ j = 1, 2, ..., m-1,\ r = 1, 2, ..., m+1\}$.

When $n = 3m + 2$, we let

$F_1 = \{v_0x, v_{3m+2-i}v_{3m+1+i}, v_jv_{2m+1-j}, v_{-j}v_{j-2m-1} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1,\ j = 1, 2, ..., m\}$.

Now for each $p = 2, ..., k$, let F_p be obtained from F_1 by replacing each v_s in F_1 by v_{s+2p-2}.

The above constructions are illustrated in Figure 4(a) and (b) for the cases $n = 9$ and 10 respectively.



Figure 4: Triangle-free geometric graphs with 3 boundary edges.

Now we shall show that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is triangle-free. For this purpose, we may assume that $k = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil - 1$.

First we observe that, similar to part (I), if G contains a triangle $v_rv_sv_tv_r = \triangle$ where $r < s < t$, then $x \notin \{v_r, v_s, v_t\}$ because the neighbors $N(x)$ of x are $v_0, v_2, \ldots, v_{2k-2}$ and no two vertices in $N(x)$ are adjacent in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$.

Next we take note of the following observation which is similar as in part (I).

(O4) Suppose v_iv_j is a non-radial edge of G. Then v_iv_j separates $V(G) - \{v_i, v_j\}$ into two parts $A_{i,j}$ and $A_{i,j}(x)$ with (i) $A_{i,j}(x)$ containing the center x, (ii) $|A_{i,j}|$ and $|A_{i,j}(x)|$

are both even (by Proposition 1), (iii) $|A_{i,j}| < |A_{i,j}(x)|$ and (iv) $|A_{i,j}| \leq 2m-2+\ell$ where $\ell = 0, 2$ and $|A_{i,j}| \leq 2m$ where $\ell = 1$ (recall that $n = 3m + \ell$).

Case (1): Assume that x is not enclosed by $\triangle$.

By relabeling r, s, t, if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that v_r, v_s separates $G - \{v_r, v_s\}$ into $A_{r,s}$ and $A_{r,s}(x)$ so that $v_t \in A_{r,s}$. Then by (O1)(ii), $|A_{r,s}(x)|, |A_{s,t}|$ and $|A_{t,r}|$ are even integers. But this is a contradiction.

Case (2): Assume that x is enclosed by $\triangle$.

(i) Suppose only one of the $A_{i,j}$'s attains the maximum number of vertices.

When $\ell \in \{0, 2\}$, we have $|A_{r,s}| + |A_{s,t}| + |A_{t,r}| \leq 6m - 10 + 3\ell < 6m + 2\ell - 4 = 2n - 4$ which is a contradiction. Also when $\ell = 1$, we have $|A_{r,s}| + |A_{s,t}| + |A_{t,r}| < 6m - 2 = 2n - 4$ and is again a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose only two parts of the $A_{i,j}$'s attain the maximum number of vertices.

When $\ell \in \{0, 2\}$, we have $|A_{r,s}| + |A_{s,t}| + |A_{t,r}| \leq 6m + 3\ell - 8 < 6m + 2\ell - 4 = 2n - 4$ which is a contradiction.

Hence assume that $\ell = 1$.

Suppose $A_{r,s}$ and $A_{t,r}$ attain the maximum number of vertices. Assume further $v_r v_s \in F'$ and $v_r v_t \in F''$, where F' and F'' are non-crossing perfect matchings.

It is clear that $v_{s+1}x \in F'$ and $v_{r+1}x \in F''$ (since $v_{r-1}x \notin F'$ and $v_{t-1}x \notin F''$ by definition of G) and this implies that the number of vertices in $v_{r+2}, v_{r+3}, ..., v_s$ is even. This contradicts the definition of G (since the indices of the radial vertices are of the same parity).

(iii) Suppose all the $A_{i,j}$'s attain the maximum number of vertices.

Note that if $v_i v_j \in F'$ for some non-crossing perfect matching F' of G where $i < j$. Then either $v_{i-1}x$ or $v_{j+1}x$ is a radial edge of F' (but not both by definition of G).

Hence each $A_{i,j}$ contains only one radial vertex. Without loss of generality assume that $v_{r+1}x$, $v_{s+1}x$ and $v_{t+1}x$ are radial edges with $v_{r+1} \in A_{r,s}$, $v_{s+1} \in A_{s,t}$ and $v_{t+1} \in A_{t,r}$. This implies that the number of vertices in $v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, ..., v_{j-1} \in A_{i,j}$ is even (by Proposition 1) for any $i, j \in \{r, s, t\}$. This contradicts the definition of G (since the indices of the radial vertices are of the same parity).

This completes the proof. □

The next result shows that, when $n \geq 3$ is odd and if every non-crossing perfect matching has only two boundary edges, the geometric graph obtained with $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ perfect matchings (that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2) is unique.

Theorem 3 *Let S be a set of $2n$ points in regular wheel configuration in the plane where $n \geq 3$ is odd. Suppose $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k$ are k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings on S such that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is a triangle-free geometric graph with $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil$.*

Then each non-crossing perfect matching F_i has exactly two boundary edges if and only if all radial edges in G are in consecutive order.

Proof: We first suppose that each F_i has only two boundary edges. Assume without loss of generality that $F_1 = \{v_0x, v_jv_{n-j}, v_{n-1+j}v_{-j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-1)/2\}$.

Let F be a non-crossing perfect matching in G and v_px is the radial edge in F. Suppose F' is a non-crossing perfect matching in G different from F and v_qx is the radial edge of F', then q is neither $p+2k-2$ nor $p+2k-1$; otherwise $E(F') \cap E(F) \neq \emptyset$. That is

(i) if v_px is a radial edge of G, then neither $v_{p+2k-2}x$ nor $v_{p+2k-1}x$ can be a radial edge of G.

Assume on the contrary that not all radial edges of G are in consecutive order. By symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that there exists $q \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, 2k-3\}$ such that v_qx is the radial edge of a non-crossing perfect matching F' in G.

We claim that, for each $q \in \{k, k+1, \ldots, 2k-3\}$ where v_qx and v_0x are both radial edges of G, there exists at most $k-3$ other vertices which can be used as radial vertices of G, thereby establishing a contradiction (because G has precisely k radial edges).

First, we show that the claim is true if $q = k$.

Since v_kx is the radial edge of F', we have $F' = \{v_kx, v_{k+j}v_{n-j+k}, v_{n-1+k+j}v_{k-j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-1)/2\}$. Further, by (i), we have

(r1) v_j is not a radial vertex of G for any $j \in \{2k-2, 2k-1, 3k-2, 3k-1\}$.

Observe that, for any $l = 2, 3, \ldots, k-2$, $v_{k-l}x$ is not a radial edge of G otherwise $v_{3k-l-1}v_{k-l-1} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{k+l}v_{3k-l-1}v_{k-l-1}v_{k+l}$ in G, a contradiction.

Clearly, for $i \in \{1, k-1\}$, v_ix is not a radial edge of G (otherwise $xv_{i-1}v_ix$ will be a triangle in G). That means

(r2) v_{k-l} is not a radial vertex of G for every $l = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$.

For any $l = 0, 1, \ldots, k-3$, $v_{2k+l}x$ is not a radial edge of G otherwise $v_{2k+l-1}v_{l+2} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{2k+l-1}v_{l+2}v_{-l-1}v_{2k+l-1}$ in G, a contradiction. That means

(r3) v_{2k+l} is not a radial vertex of G for any $l = 0, 1, \ldots, k-3$.

By (i), we have

(r4) for each $l = 1, 2, \ldots, k-3$, v_{k+l} and v_{3k+l-1} cannot be both radial vertices of G.

It follows from (r1), (r2), (r3) and (r4) that the claim is true for $q = k$.

Now let $q = k + r$ for some $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k-3\}$ and assume that v_qx is a radial edge of G. Also assume (it has been shown) that $v_{k+i}x$ is not a radial edge of G for

any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$. With this assumption, it implies that $v_i x$ is not a radial edge of G for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ otherwise having both $v_i x$ and $v_{k+r} x$ as radial edges of G would mean that $v_0 x$ and $v_{k+r-i} x$ are both radial edges of G, a contradiction (to the induction hypothesis).

As such, we have

$F' = \{v_{k+r}x, v_{k+r+j}v_{3k-1+r-j}, v_{3k-2+r+j}v_{k+r-j} \mid j = 1, 2, ..., (n-1)/2\}$.

Further by (i), we have

(w1) for any $s \in \{2k-2, 2k-1, 3k+r-2, 3k+r-1\}$, v_s is not a radial vertex of G.

Also by (i),

(w2) for each $s = r+1, r+2, ..., k-3$, v_{k+s} and v_{3k+s-1} cannot be both radial vertices of G.

Now for any $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor (k-r-1)/2 \rfloor$, $v_{r+s}x$ is not a radial edge of G otherwise $v_{2r+s+1}v_{2k+s-2} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{-s}v_{2r+s+1}v_{2k+s-2}v_{-s}$ in G, a contradiction.

Also, for any $\lfloor (k-r-1)/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq s \leq k-r-1$, $v_{r+s}x$ is not a radial edge of G otherwise $v_{2k+2r+s-1}v_{s-1} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{s-1}v_{2k-s}v_{2k+2r+s-1}v_{s-1}$ in G, a contradiction. That is

(w3) for any $j = r+1, r+2, ..., k-1$, v_j is not a radial vertex of G.

For any $i = r, r+1, ..., k-3$, $v_{2k+i}x$ is not a radial edge of G, otherwise $v_{2k+i-r-1}v_{r+i+2} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{r-i-1}v_{2k+i-r-1}v_{r+i+2}v_{r-i-1}$ in G, a contradiction. That is

(w4) for any $i = r, r+1, ..., k-3$, v_{2k+i} is not a radial vertex of G.

Let $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. Suppose $v_{2k+j-1}x$ and $v_{3k+j-3}x$ are radial edges of G. Then $v_{2j}v_{2k-1} \in E(G)$ which yields a triangle $v_{-1}v_{2j}v_{2k-1}v_{-1}$ in G, a contradiction. That is,

(w5) v_{2k+j-1} and v_{3k+j-3} cannot be both radial vertices of G for any $j = 1, \ldots, r$.

By (w1),(w2),(w3),(w4) and (w5) the claim is true for $q = k + r$.

Hence we conclude that $v_{k+r}x$ is not a radial edge of G for any $r = 0, 1, \ldots, k-3$.

We now prove the sufficiency. Without loss of generality assume that the radial edges are $v_i x$ and $v_i x \in E(F_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., k-1$.

Consider the edge $v_1 v_q$ in F_1. Clearly $q \notin \{2k, 2k+1, ..., 4k-4\}$ because F_1 is a non-crossing perfect matching. Further, q is an even integer; otherwise $v_1 v_q$ separates $V(G) - \{v_1, v_q\}$ into two parts each with an odd number of vertices (which is impossible by Proposition 1 since F_1 is a non-crossing perfect matching). If $q < 2k-2$, then F_1 contains a boundary edge which joins two vertices v_i,v_{i+1} in $N(x)$ (yielding a triangle $x v_i v_{i+1} x$ in G) which is impossible. Hence $q = 2k-2$.

By a similar recursive argument, we see that $v_j v_{2k-j-1}$ is an edge in F_1 for each

$j = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ with $v_{k-1}v_k$ being a boundary edge of F_1.

By repeating the same argument to $F_2, ..., F_k$ successively, we see that, for each $i = 2, ..., k$, $v_{i+j-1}v_{2k+i-j-2}$ is an edge of F_i for each $j = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ with $v_{k+i-2}v_{k+i-1}$ being a boundary edge of F_i.

It remains to show that each F_i has just one more boundary edge. Consider F_k first. Since $v_{k-2}v_p$ is an edge in F_k for some $p \in \{3k-2, 3k-1, ..., 4k-4\}$, we apply similar argument as before (which was done to the case F_1 and v_1v_q) to conclude that $p = 3k-2$. Continue with the same argument, it follows that $v_{k-1-j}v_{3k-3+j}$ is an edge of F_k for each $j = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ with v_0v_{4k-4} being a boundary edge of F_k.

Now repeat the same argument to $F_{k-1}, ..., F_1$ successively, we see that, for each $i = 1, 2, ..., k-1$, $v_{k-1-i-j}v_{3k-3-i+j}$ is an edge of F_{k-i} for each $j = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ with $v_{-i}v_{4k-4-i}$ being a boundary edge of F_{k-i}.

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2 *When is $n \geq 4$ is even, Theorem 3 remains true. Here we may assume that $F_1 = \{v_0x, v_jv_{n-j+1}, v_{n+j}v_{-j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2\}$. Note that we may also assume that $F_1 = \{v_0x, v_jv_{n-j-1}, v_{n-2+j}v_{-j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2\}$ (as the two graphs constructed are isomorphic). The proof is similar to the case when n is odd (with suitable modification).*

4 Points in general position

Let S be a set of $2n$ in the plane where no three points are collinear. In [5] (Theorem 8), it was shown that for $n = 2^k$, there exist at least $k-1$ edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings. In this section, for $n = 2^k + h$ where $0 \leq h < 2^k$, we shall show that there are at least k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings such that the union is a triangle-free geometric graph (Theorem 4). For this purpose, we recall a result of [3] which states that if V is a set of n points in general position in the plane with $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{2m}$, where the $n_i's$ are nonnegative integers, then there exist m lines and a partition of V into $2m$ pairwise disjoint subsets $V_1, V_2, ..., V_{2m}$, such that $|V_i| = n_i$, and every two distinct subsets V_i, V_j are separated by at least one of the m lines. A special case of this result is the following.

Corollary 1 *[3] Let S be a set of n points in general position in the plane with $n = n_1 + n_2$. Then there exists a line that separates S into two disjoint sets S_1 and S_2 such that $|S_1| = n_1$ and $|S_2| = n_2$.*

In the case that $|S_1| = |S_2|$, there is an algorithm due to Attalah (see [4]) that matches all points in S_1 to all points in S_2 resulting in a non-crossing perfect matching in S. In the case that $|S_1| = |S_2| + 2$, we shall modify this algorithm to construct a non-crossing perfect matching F in S. The modified algorithm is given below.

Algorithm (A) :

1. Find a line l that separates S into S_1 and S_2 such that either $|S_1| = |S_2|$ or $|S_1| = |S_2| + 2$.

2. Find a line $l^{\perp}$ such that $l^{\perp}$ is perpendicular to l and all points in S are on one side of $l^{\perp}$.

3. Find $CH(S_i)$, the convex hull of S_i, $i = 1, 2$ and let $u_i \in CH(S_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ be such that all the points in $S_1 \cup S_2 - \{u_1, u_2\}$ are between $l^{\perp}$ and the line joining u_1 and u_2. Let u_1u_2 be an edge in F.

4. Repeat Step 3 with $S_i - u_i$ taking the place of S_i, $i = 1, 2$ until $S_2 = \emptyset$.

5. If (i) $S_1 = \emptyset$, then stop; otherwise (ii) $S_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ then let v_1v_2 be an edge in F and stop.

The edge v_1v_2 in Step 5(ii) is termed a *stone* and shall be denoted by $st(v_1, v_2)$.

Lemma 2 *Let T be a set of m points in the general position where $m \geq 3$. Suppose there is a line separating a given set $\{u, v\}$ from T. Then there is a line that separates some subset T_1 from T with $\{u, v\} \subseteq T_1$ and $2 \leq |T_1| \leq m - 1$.*

Proof: The lemma is trivially true if $m = 3$ with $T_1 = \{u, v\}$. So assume that $m \geq 4$.

Let $w_1 \in T - \{u, v\}$ be such that all points in $T - \{u, v, w_1\}$ are on one side of the line l_1 joining w_1 and z_1 for some $z_1 \in \{u, v\}$ and let $T_1 = \{u, v, w_1\}$. Let L_1 be a line parallel to l_1 such that all points in $T - \{u, v, w_1\}$ are on one side of L_1.

If $|T_1| = m - 1$, then the proof is complete. Otherwise repeat the argument with $w_2 \in T - \{u, v, w_1\}$ and $z_2 \in \{u, v, w_1\}$ so that all points in $T - \{u, v, w_1, w_2\}$ are on one side of the line l_2 joining w_2z_2 and let $T_1 = \{u, v, w_1, w_2\}$ with the line L_2 similarly defined. By repeating the argument where necessary, we reach the conclusion of the lemma. □

We shall now apply Algorithm (A) to prove the next result. We wish to emphasize that in Step 1, we find the line that separates S into S_1 and S_2 so that $|S_i|$ is even for each $i = 1, 2$ in each iteration.

Theorem 4 *Let S be a set of $2n$ points in general position in the plane where $n = 2^k + h$, with $0 \leq h < 2^k$. Then there exist at least k sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings $F_1, F_2, ..., F_k$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is a triangle-free geometric graph .*

Proof: First we apply Algorithm (A) above to obtain the first non-crossing perfect matching F_1. In so doing, the set S has been split into S_1 and S_2 and $|S_i|$ is even, $i = 1, 2$.

If S_i has no stone, then we apply Algorithm (A) to split S_i into $S_{i,1}$ and $S_{i,2}$ and obtain an non-crossing perfect matching $F(i)$ on S_i and let $F_2 = F(1) \cup F(2)$.

If S_i has a stone $st(v_1, v_2)$, then Algorithm (A) ensures that there is a line separating $\{v_1, v_2\}$ from S_i. Hence by Lemma 2, there is line that splits S_i into $S_{i,1}$ and $S_{i,2}$ with $\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq S_{i,2}$, $|S_{i,j}|$ is even for $j = 1, 2$ and either $|S_{i,2}| = |S_{i,1}|$ or $|S_{i,2}| = |S_{i,1}| - 2$. Let $F(i)$ be a non-crossing perfect matching in S_i and let $F_2 = F(1) \cup F(2)$.

To obtain the next non-crossing perfect matching, we repeat the above operations by applying Algorithm (A) to $S_{i,j}$ for each $i = 1, 2$ with $j = 1, 2$ to obtain a non-crossing perfect matching $F(i)$ for $S_i = S_{i,1} \cup S_{i,2}$ and let $F_3 = F(1) \cup F(2)$.

Continue with the above operations until we obtain k sets of non-crossing perfect matchings $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_k$. It is clear that $E(F_i) \cap E(F_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Next we show that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_i$ is a triangle-free geometric graph.

Assume on the contrary that G has a triangle $\Delta = v_r v_s v_t v_r$. Then at most one of the edges in Δ is a stone (by the above construction).

(i) Suppose $st(v_r, v_s)$ is a stone. Since $v_r v_t$ is an edge in Δ, $v_r v_t$ belongs to some non-crossing perfect matching F_j. By the above construction, there is a line l' which separates v_r and v_t with v_r, v_s on the the same side of l'. But this implies that v_t cannot be adjacent to any vertex which lies in the same side as v_r, v_s (with respect to l'), a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose no edge in Δ is a stone. Again $v_r v_t$ belongs to some non-crossing perfect matching F_j. By the above construction, there is a line l' which separates v_r and v_t. We can assume without loss o generality that v_r, v_s are on the same side of l'. But again we reach the same contradiction as in Case (i).

This completes the proof. □

5 Plane Triangle-free Geometric Graphs

In [5] (Theorem 8), the authors prove that for a set of $2n$ points S in the general position, where $n \geq 2$, there exist at least 2 and at most 5 sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings that can be packed into a complete geometric graph $K_{|S|}$ on the set S. Moreover these bounds are tight.

For the case of triangle-free geometric graphs in general position, we have the following. The proof follows easily from the fact that every triangle-free simple planar graph has a vertex of degree at most 3.

Proposition 3 *Suppose S is a set of $2n$ points in the plane where $n \geq 4$. If S is in general position, then at most 3 sets of edge-disjoint non-crossing perfect matchings can be packed into $K_{|S|}$ such that the union of these perfect matchings is a triangle-free plane geometric graph. On the the hand, if S is in convex position, then at most 2 sets of such*

perfect matchings can be packed into $K_{|S|}$ giving rise to a triangle-free plane geometric graph.

For every natural number $n \geq 4$, the prism (which is the Cartesian product of an n-cycle with a path on two vertices) with $2n$ vertices is a triangle-free planar graph. This shows that the bound provided in Proposition 3 is tight.

We conclude this section with the following result.

Proposition 4 *For a set S of $2n$ points in general position in the plane, where $n \geq 4$, at least 2 and at most 3 edge-disjoint non-crossing plane perfect matchings can be packed into $K_{|S|}$ so that the resulting graph is a triangle-free plane geometric graph. These bounds are tight.*

References

[1] O. Aichholzer, S. Bereg, A. Dumitrescu, A. Garca, C. Huemer, F. Hurtado, M. Kano, A. Mrquez, D. Rappaport, S. Smorodinsky, D. Souvaine, J. Urrutia, D. Wood, Compatible geometric matchings, *Comput. Geom.* **42** (2009) 617 - 626.

[2] A. Aichholzer, S. Cabella, R. Fabila-Monroy, D. Flores-Peñaloza, T. Hackl, C. Huemer, F. Hurtado and D.R. Wood, Edge-removal and non-crossing configurations and geometric graphs, *Discrete Maths. & Theorectical Comput. Sci.,* **12** (2010) 75–86.

[3] N. Alon and M.A. Perles, On the intersection of edges of a geometric graphs by straight line, *Discrete Math.,* **60** (1986) 75–90.

[4] M. J. Atallah, A matching problem in the plane, *J. Computer and System Science*, **31** (1985) 63–70.

[5] A. Biniaz, P. Bose, A. Maheshwari and M. Smid, Packing plane perfect matchings into a point set, *Discrete Maths. & Theorectical Comput. Sci.,* **17** (2015) 119–142.

[6] C. Hernando, F. Hurtado and M. Noy, Graphs of non-crossing perfect matchings, *Graphs and Combinat.* **18** (2002) 517–532.